

John Taladay (*pro hac vice*)
john.taladay@bakerbotts.com
BAKER BOTTS LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 639-7700
Facsimile: (202) 639-7890

Stuart C. Plunkett (State Bar No. 187971)
stuart.plunkett@bakerbotts.com
Peter Huston (State Bar No. 150058)
peter.huston@bakerbotts.com
BAKER BOTTS LLP
101 California Street, Suite 3600
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 291-6200
Facsimile: (415) 291-6300

Attorneys for
IRICO GROUP CORP. and
IRICO DISPLAY DEVICES CO., LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION,

) Case No. 3:07-cv-05944-JST

) MDL No.: 1917

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

) **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**
) **IRICO DISPLAY DEVICES CO.,**
) **LTD.'S MOTION TO DISMISS**
) **INDIRECT PURCHASER**
) **PLAINTIFFS FOR LACK OF**
) **SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**
) **(FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(1))**

) Date: May 30, 2019

) Time: 2:00 p.m.

) Judge: Honorable Jon S. Tigar

) Courtroom: 9

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

2 Defendant Irico Display Devices Co., Ltd.'s ("Irico Display") motion to dismiss pursuant
3 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), came on for hearing in Courtroom 9 before the
4 Honorable Jon S. Tigar on May 30, 2019.

5 After full consideration of the written and oral submissions of the parties, the Court
6 determines that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Irico Display pursuant to the Foreign
7 Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1602 *et seq.*

8 Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Irico Display's motion and dismisses the Indirect
9 Purchaser Plaintiffs' complaint against Irico Display with prejudice.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 DATED: _____

13 _____
14 JON S. TIGAR
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28